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Abstract
Giant ovarian cystic tumours are rare. Histologically, most of them are cystadenomas, either serous, or mucinous. Only 10-15% are malignant or borderline tumours. We are presenting the case of a 41 years patient hospitalized for important abdominal growth started 8 years ago and who weighted 125 kg on hospitalization. ROMA score is 31.24% because of CA 125 (87.7 4 IU/ml). CT scan revealed a giant cystic pelviabdominal mass (46/55/60 cm), with benign features. Intraoperative 55 litres of lightly brown liquid were evacuated, followed by left adnexectomy. Histopathological exam identified association between complex mucinous cystadenoma with elements of endometriosis and serous cyst. The patient was discharged on the 9th day postoperative, after an eventless evolution, weighting 57 kg. For giant cystic ovarian tumours with benign preoperative features, which cannot be removed intact, it is acceptable treatment by puncturing their content, followed by cyst excision. Coordination and multidisciplinary collaboration are mandatory keys for the success of a complex case.
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1. Introduction
Cystic ovarian tumours are frequent, appearing in all stages of age of woman, being reported with 14.1% in cidence in screening [1]. Histologically, primary ovarian tumours are classified in 9 types, epithelial serous and mucinous tumours being the most frequent [2]. Benign mucinous tumours are larger than serous ones and could become giant. They are unilocular or may have few septa, with smooth external surface. Their content is slimy, yellow and clear [3]. Ovarian tumours seldom become giant due to the early diagnosis as a result of the access to medical services. There is no consensus on the terminology of giant tumour, but it is accepted for tumours that overcome the umbilicus or those over 20 cm and only 10-15% are borderline or malignant [4].

2. Material and methods
We are presenting the case of a 41 years patient hospitalized for important abdominal growth started progressively 8 years ago, increased for about 6 months when the patient reports early satiety, fatigability and dyspnea. Personal medical history revealed a vaginal birth and ovarian cystectomy 21 years ago. The patient is totally dependent on her family, abdominal mass limiting her movements, with impaired balance during walking. The general examination reveals superficial dilated abdominal wall veins, accentuated lumbar lordosis, decreased coastal excursions, physiological vesicular murmur, with inferior limit ascended, apex of heart on 4th intercostal space anterior axillary line and lower limbs oedemas. On hospitalization the patient is weighing 125 kg and is 160 cm high.

Abdominal examination shows important distension by giant mass 60/50 cm, which goes from the pubic symphysis to xiphoid process and costal margins, with collateral circulation and cutaneous tension, regular, smooth surface, renten, in tension, unpainful. The umbilicus is displaced inferiorly and the tumour engages supra-umbilical region. Abdominal circumference – 160 cm and the distance from the pubis to xiphoid process is 99 cm.

Local examination shows vulva and vagina of normal appearance, ascended cervix, rearward displaced, with intact epithelium, uterus and adnexa included in tumour previously described, anterior fornix distended by inferior pole of the tumour.

ROMA score is 31.24%, due to increased CA 125 (87.74 IU/ml).

Cardiopulmonary radiography reveals bilateral ascended and horizontal diaphragm, heart, aorta, horizontal 1 ribs.

Abdominal ultrasound did not help the diagnosis in this case due to the limit of ultrasound beam penetration until the posterior tumour wall and it only showed homogenous anechoic content of the cyst and thin anterior wall, no septa or vegetation.

Native and contrast computer tomography examination of superior abdomen and pelvis revealed giant cystic pelvic-abdominal mass (46/55/60 cm), with thin wall, no septa, that compresses abdominal organs, right secondary II/III-degree ureterohydronephrosis, without free peritoneal fluid or adenopathies.

Differential diagnosis imposed excluding other affections that produce abdominal distension like ascites, but the fluid wave, shifting and flank dullness signs are missing [5], intraperitoneal tumour (giant mesenteric cyst, peritoneal mesothelioma, pelvic hydatid cyst) or retroperitoneal (cystic – giant renal cyst or solids – fibroids, teratomas, sarcomas, lymphomas, osteochondromas) [6], excluded by CT scan, multiple term pregnancy.

The patient has taken psychological counselling pre-surgery and plastic surgery examination in view of abdominaloplasty, which will be performed after the intervention in order to allow the recovery of connective and elastic tissues and abdominal muscle tonus.

Based on pre-surgery data, the tumour is most probably benign. Under general anaesthesia, a 20 cm supra-umbilical upper abdominal midline incision is performed. It is ascertained the adherence of the cyst wall to the abdominal wall, no ascites in peritoneal cavity. Abdominal cavity is sealed off and it is evacuated slowly, 1 litre/minute, approximately 55 litres light brown content. After aspiration, cyst wall is removed from a posterior and lateral abdominal wall, which permits pelvis examination and visualisation of left ovary tumour origin, with 360° twisted pedicle, left fallopian tube thinned out, adherent to the inferior pole of the cyst, right ureter dilated, with 8 mm diameter, uterus myomatous, right adnexa not visualised. Left adnexectomy was performed, followed by peritoneal lavage and multiple drainage.
Postoperative evolution is eventless and patient benefit from rehabilitation treatment starting 4th day postoperative and was discharged 9th day postoperative weighting 57 Kg.

Pathological macroscopic examination revealed giant cyst wall (50/40 cm evacuated cyst), without vegetations, parietal thickness 0.7 cm, multilocular cyst, intracystic haemorrhage.

Microscopic exam (H-E: haematoxylin-eosin staining method) revealed multilocular mucinous cystadenoma covered by gastrointestinal epithelium, limited areas of complex mucinous cystadenomas, presenting small spurs with pseudo invasive pattern, fibro collagenous stroma with hyper cellular areas and stromal luteinized cells; granulomatous inflammatory reaction with histiocyte cells with hemosiderin pigment, suggesting the association of a endometriosis cyst and normal structure of the fallopian tube.

Areas of granulation tissue from epithelium with hemosiderin laden plead for a possible endometriosis stromal component, also correlated with light brown colour of the liquid evacuated.

3. Discussions

Giant ovarian tumours are isolated cases and only few are published [7]. They appear usually in perimenopausal use and are among the biggest tumours known. Sometimes tumours are bilateral. The patients request medical examination for slow abdominal distension, sometimes associated with abdominal pain, constipation, poliuria, dyspnea, palpitations, tachycardia from nearby organ compression or, when becoming very large – postural changes, with consequences on vertebral column – myofascial pain syndrome and vertebral syndrome (hyper lordosis) limiting daily activities.

The golden standard regarding surgical treatment consists in intact tumour excision [8]. In the case presented, the weight estimated preoperative and the adherence to the abdominal wall and retroperitoneum, made impossible this attitude. Intraoperative tumour drainage prevents splanchnic shock when a compressing vena cava tumour mass is suddenly released [9].

Intraoperative drainage was successfully performed also when giant benign cysts were removed laparoscopically [10-11]. Preoperative paracentesis by inserting a drain inside the tumour and slowly evacuation for several days is also disputed, due to the risk of infection, adhesions and implantation of cancer cells and it should be performed only to alleviate cardiopulmonary impairment [12]. In paediatric surgery, drainage followed by laparoscopic excision became standard in surgical treatment of giant tumours. More studies were published over the last years analysing cyst excised after intraoperative puncture, without secondary complications or pseudomyxoma peritoneal [13]. Cases of pseudomyxoma were reported only after mucocoele appendicular or ovarian mucinous cystadenocarcinoma [14].

The association inside the same cyst of mucoid, serous and endometriosis elements represents a particularity of the case and suggest the origin in the surface epithelium.

Endometriosis also explains the increased CA 125 level. Complex mucinous cystadenomas are constituted by more locular spaces, some with peripheral arrangements like daughter cysts or acini, realising a pseudo invasive pattern. The complexity of glandular and papillary arrangements could be important, the presence or absence of the invasion being sometimes difficult to evaluate [15]. The difference from mucinous borderline tumours consists that the epithelial proliferation, stratification and atypies do not surpass 10% from tumour in case of mucinous cyst.

Previously, in Romania only one giant ovarian weighting 30 kg case was reported [16]. Estimated to 60 kg, the case presented is the largest ovarian cyst reported until nowadays in our country.

4. Conclusions
Multidisciplinary coordination and collaboration represent mandatory requirements for the success of a complex case. Operative team consisted of a gynaecologist, 3 general surgeons, anaesthetist. Other specialists – pathologist, a physical and rehabilitation specialist, plastic surgeon and psychologist are contributing to the patient’s recovery.

For giant ovarian cysts with preoperative benign features, intraoperative drainage followed by tumour excision represents the optimal therapeutic solution.
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